

# **BG** Research Online

Francis, L.J., Fawcett, B. G., and McKenna, U. (2023). Exploring the factor structure of the Adolescent Form of the Francis Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETSA) among Canadian Baptist youth: full form and short form. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*.

This is an Author-Accepted Manuscript published by Taylor and Francis in its final form on 13<sup>th</sup> November 2023 at: https://doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2023.2256676

This version may differ slightly from the final published version. Copyright is retained by the author/s and/or other copyright holders.

End users generally may reproduce, display or distribute single copies of content held within BG Research Online, in any format or medium, for <u>personal research & study</u> or for <u>educational or other not-for-profit purposes</u> provided that:

- The full bibliographic details and a hyperlink to (or the URL of) the item's record in BG Research Online are clearly displayed;
- No part of the content or metadata is further copied, reproduced, distributed, displayed or published, in any format or medium;
- The content and/or metadata is not used for commercial purposes;
- The content is not altered or adapted without written permission from the rights owner/s, unless expressly permitted by licence.

For enquiries about BG Research Online email <a href="mailto:bgro@bishopg.ac.uk">bgro@bishopg.ac.uk</a>.

Accepted 4.9.23 for journal: Mental Health, Religion and Culture

Exploring the factor structure of the Adolescent Form of the Francis Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETSA) among Canadian Baptist youth:

Full form and short form

## Leslie J. Francis\*

Centre for Educational Development, Appraisal and Research (CEDAR)

University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

World Religions and Education Research Unit

Bishop Grosseteste University, Lincoln, UK

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2946-9980

#### Bruce G. Fawcett

President and Vice Chancellor / Professor of Leadership and Religious Studies Crandall University, Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8972-3202

## Ursula McKenna

World Religions and Education Research Unit Bishop Grosseteste University, Lincoln, UK https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2625-7731

Author note:

\*Corresponding author:

Leslie J. Francis

Centre for Educational Development, Appraisal and Research (CEDAR)

The University of Warwick

Email: <u>leslie.francis@warwick.ac.uk</u>

## **Abstract**

The Francis Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETS) operationalise the four components of the psychological-type model of personality alongside the fifth component of emotional temperament. The five ten-item scales have been employed in research as continuous variables that explain individual differences in a wide range of religious beliefs and attitudes. The present study tested the factor structure of the adolescent form of the instrument (FPTETSA) and proposes a short form (FPTETSA-S) in which each of the five scales comprises six items, drawing on data provided by 360 Canadian Baptist youth. Both the full scales and the short scales are commended for use where the need is for continuous scale scores rather than generating psychological type categories.

*Keywords:* psychological type, emotionality, short form, factor structure, internal consistency reliability, psychology of religion

## Introduction

The Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTS) were developed originally to provide a reliable and valid measure of psychological type theory appropriate for application in surveys conducted within the field of the psychology of personality and individual differences among adult participants, and with a particular concern regarding the contribution of such studies to the empirical psychology of religion (Francis, 2005). The FPTS is a 40-item instrument comprising four sets of 10 forced-choice items related to each of the four components of psychological type theory: orientation (extraversion and introversion), perceiving process (sensing and intuition), judging process (thinking and feeling), and attitude toward the outer world (judging and perceiving).

Over the past two decades the FPTS have been widely employed particularly in the fields of congregation studies and clergy studies. In the field of congregation studies, the FPTS has been employed to map the profile of 3,304 Anglican churchgoers in England (Francis, Robbins, & Craig, 2011), 1,527 churchgoers from a range of Christian denominations in Australia (Robbins & Francis, 2011), 1,156 churchgoers from a range of denominations in England (Village et al., 105 Greek Orthodox churchgoers in London (Lewis et al., 2012), and 263 Methodist churchgoers in England (Lewis et al., 2021). In the field of cathedral studies, the FPTS has been employed to map the profile of 511 participants at the Sunday morning service in Southwark Cathedral (Francis & Lankshear, 2021) and participants at carol services in several cathedrals: 403 in Worcester Cathedral (Walker, 2012), 193 in Bangor Cathedral (Francis, Edwards, & ap Siôn, 2021), and 1,234 in Liverpool Cathedral (Francis, Jones, & McKenna, 2021). Other studies have added to this tapestry by profiling participants engaged in various forms of Fresh Expressions of Church (Francis, Clymo, & Robbins, 2014; Francis, Wright, & Robbins, 2016; Village, 2015a) Comparable

studies have profiled participants at Mosques (Francis & Datoo, 2012), and online atheists (Baker & Robbins, 2012).

In the field of clergy studies, the FPTS has been employed to map the profile 134 lead elders within the Newfrontiers network of churches (Francis, Gubb, & Robbins, 2009), 101 Church of England clergy engaged in ministry as full-time hospital chaplains (Francis, Hancocks, et al., 2009), 1,004 Methodist ministers in Britain (Burton et al., 2010), 231 Anglican clergymen serving in the Church in Wales (Francis, Littler, & Robbins, 2010), 39 Local Ordained Ministers serving in the Church of England (Francis & Holmes, 2011), 561 clergy serving in the Presbyterian Church (USA) (Francis, Robbins, & Wulff, 2011), 529 clergymen and 518 clergywomen ordained in the Anglican Church in the United Kingdom from 2004 to 2007 (Village, 2011), 164 male apostolic network leaders (Kay et al., 2011), 97 training incumbents and 98 curates serving in the church of England (Tilley et al., 2011), 154 leaders within the Newfrontiers network of churches (Francis, Robbins, & Ryland, 2012), 144 clergywomen serving in Local Ordained Ministry in the Church of England (Francis, Robbins, & Jones, 2012), 306 Catholic priests serving in Australia (Francis, Powell, & Robbins, 2012), 56 clergymen and 79 clergywomen serving in Local Ordained Ministry in the Church of England (Francis & Village, 2012), 845 lay church leaders in Australia (Powell et al., 2012), 55 Catholic priests serving in the USA (Burns et al., 2013), 168 bishops, serving or retired, in the Church of England (Francis, Whinney, & Robbins, 2013), 155 volunteer Christian youth leaders in Northern Ireland (Hamill & Francis, 2013), 236 readers serving in the Church of England (Francis, Jones, & Robbins, 2014), 89 clergymen and 26 clergywomen serving in the Reformed Church in America (Royle et al., 2015), 117 Singaporean Pentecostal pastors (Robbins & Kay, 2015), 155 Catholic priests serving in Italy (Francis & Crea, 2015), 268 Anglican clergymen serving in the Church in Wales (Payne & Lewis, 2015), 120 clergywomen and 436 clergymen from Protestant denominations in

Australia (Robbins & Powell, 2015), 1,480 Church of England clergy, mainly stipendiary (Watt & Voas, 2015), 336 Canadian Baptist youth leaders (Francis, Fawcett et al., 2016), 142 Methodist local preachers (Francis, & Stevenson, 2018), 90 curates under the age of forty serving in the Church of England (Francis & Smith, 2018), 186 Church of England Archdeacons, serving or retired (Francis & Whinney, 2019), 283 Baptist Ministers serving in Great Britain (Garland & Village, 2022), 77 stipendiary and 53 non-stipendiary clergy serving in the Church of England (Rutledge, 2021), 153 Church of England readers (Francis, Jones, & Village, 2021), 434 Salvation Army Officers in England (ap Siôn & Francis, 2022), 93 ministers serving in the United Reformed Church (Lewis et al., 2022), 190 Catholic priests serving in England, Wales, and Ireland (Francis & Village, 2022a), and 951 Methodist ministers serving in Britain (Francis, Haley, & McKenna, 2023).

This body of research conducted within the fields of congregation studies and clergy studies provided generally consistent data on the internal consistency reliability of the FPTS with alpha coefficients (Cronbach, 1951) well in excess of the threshold recommended by DeVellis (2003). For example, in England among 1,047 Anglican clergy, Village (2011) reported alpha coefficients of .85 for the EI scale, .77 for the SN scale, .72 for the TF scale, and .81 for the JP scale. Another series of studies has tested the factor structure of the FPTS. For example, Francis, Laycock, and Brewster (2017) tested the factor structure of the Francis Psychological Type Scales among a sample of 722 Anglican clergy in England (540 clergymen and 182 clergywomen). Confirmatory factor analysis demonstrated that 74 of the 80 items were located within the hypothesized four factor structure of the instrument with loadings of or above .38 on the hypothesized factors. Payne et al. (2021) replicated the analysis in a sample of 364 Anglican clergy in Wales and found that 78 of the 80 items were located within the hypothesized four factor structure of the instrument with loadings of or above .38 on the hypothesized four factor structure of the instrument with loadings of or

Village (2021) who examined the factor structure of the Francis Psychological Type Scales employing structural equation modelling and confirmatory factor analyses among samples of 1,522 clergy and 2,474 laity from the Church of England.

A fourth study (Francis & Village, 2022b) replicated the analyses among two samples of adults participating in short courses relevant for Christian ministry (N = 185 and 392). In both samples, 39 of the 40 items were located within the hypothesized structure of the instrument with loadings of or above .30 on the hypothesized factors, with few crossloadings. This study also tested the concurrent validity of the FPTS alongside the 126-item Form G (Anglicised) of the MBTI (Myers & McCaulley, 1985). The two measures aligned well with the proportion of same-type categorizations matching those reported for test-retest of the MBTI (see, for example, Bents & Wiershke, 1996; Howes & Carskadon, 1979; Johnson, 1992; Levy et al., 1972; McCarley & Carskadon, 1983; Silberman et al., 1992; Tsuzuki & Matsui, 1997).

More recently Village and Francis (2022c, 2023c) have introduced a fifth measure concerning emotionality (volatility and calmness) to the FPTS in order to produce the Francis Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETS). In the first of these two studies, Village and Francis (2022c) tested the factor structure of the FPTETS among a sample 209 men and women enrolled on a university ministry training course. Confirmatory Factor Analysis using both Principal Components Analysis and Categorical Principal Components Analysis gave similar results, with only four of the 50 items having factor loadings below .30 for their expected scale. A few items were identified that could be improved in some of the five dimensions, but the dimensionality of the instrument seemed generally robust. Validity of the orientation and emotional temperament scales was tested among 78 of the original sample who also completed the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire Revised shortened version (EPQR-S; Eysenck et al., 1985). There were significant

correlations between extraversion scores on the two instruments (r = .72, df = 77, p < .001) and between Eysenck neuroticism and FPTETS volatile scores (r = .78, df = 77, p < .001), suggesting these two components of the FPTETS and the two dimensions of the EPQR-S assess similar components of personality in both instruments. In the second of these two studies, Village and Francis (2023c) tested the factor structure of the FPTETS drawing on four datasets comprising 1,525 Church of England clergy, 2091 Baptist ministers, 1,296 churchgoers from a variety of churches, and 879 churchgoers from a variety of churches. These data supported the factor structure of the FPTETS.

Fawcett et al. (2011) proposed an Adolescent Form of the FPTS. The four sets of 10 forced-choice items relating to each of the four components of psychological type theory were discussed with a group of young people and expanded into four sets of 20 forced-choice items in light of the vocabulary understood and preferred by young people. This expanded set of 80 items was arranged for scoring in conventional forced choice format. As part of a wider survey this instrument was completed by 755 adolescents between the ages of 12 and 18 years attending a weeklong mission and service programme sponsored by the Convention of Atlantic Baptist Churches (now known as the Canadian Baptists of Atlantic America).

Correlation, factor, and reliability analysis were employed to select the 10 best pairs of forced-choice items from the original pool of 20 items relevant to orientation (extraversion and introversion), perceiving process (sensing and intuition), judging process (thinking and feeling), and attitude to the outside world (judging and perceiving). Each of the four scales recorded adequate alpha coefficients: orientations = .82; perceiving process = .67; judging process = .69; and attitude to outside world = .79.

The Adolescent Form of the Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTSA) has been employed in two studies among Canadian Baptist youth: 755 participants between the ages of

12 and 18 years by Fawcett et al. (2009), and 1,630 participants between the ages of 12 and 19 by Fawcett et al. (2017).

One core application of the FPTS was to employ the underlying scale scores to propose categorisation into discrete psychological types as suggested by Jung's (1971) original conceptualisation. However, the underlying constructs proposed by psychological type theory are also amenable to reconceptualisation in terms of more conventional trait theory, proposing four continua, that is drawing on the 10-point scales to assess: orientation from higher extraversion (10) to high introversion (0); perceiving process from high sensing (10) to high intuition (0); judging process from high thinking (10) to high feeling (0); and attitude from high judging (10) to high perceiving (0). Within the FPTETS the fifth continuum assesses emotionality from high volatility (10) to high calmness (0). Several recent studies have now employed the FPTS or the FPTETS in this way, including work reported by Francis, Fawcett, et al. (2021), Francis, Village, and Lewis (2021, 2022), Village (2007, 2012, 2014, 2015b, 2016, 2020), Village and Baker (2013), and Village and Francis (2021a, 2021b, 2022a, 2022b, 2023a, 2023b, 2023d).

# **Research question**

Against this background, the first aim of the present study was to integrate the 10-item emotionality scale from the FPTETS into the FPTSA and to test the factor structure of this 50-item instrument. Following the example of Village and Francis (under review) who proposed a shorter 6-item form of the FPTETS, the second aim of the present study was to develop a shorter 6-item form of the newly constructed FPTETSA.

## Method

# **Procedure**

All the young people attending the week-long Tidal Impact summer youth mission and service programme sponsored by the Canadian Baptists of Atlantic Canada, held in 2017 in

Halifax, Nova Scotia and in Bridgewater, Nova Scotia, were invited to complete a detailed questionnaire following the completion of seminars that provided training in spiritual disciplines. Following an explanation of the nature of the survey and assurances of confidentiality and anonymity, the questionnaires were distributed to the young people. Participation was voluntary, but the overall level of interest in the topic meant that few young people failed to complete the exercise. A total of 360 questionnaires were returned by participants with data fully completed for the analyses reported in the present study (that means without missing data). The research was approved by the Research Ethics Board of Crandall University in November 2016.

# **Participants**

The 360 participants comprised 128 males (36%) and 229 females (64%) with a further three who decided not to address this item; 106 were aged 12 or 13, 122 were aged 14 or 15, 60 were aged 16 or 17, and 71 were aged 18 or over. The majority of the participants were Baptists (94%) and attended church weekly (77%).

## Measure

The Adolescent form of the Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTSA; Fawcett, Francis, & Robbins, 2011) is a 40-item instrument comprising four sets of 10 forced-choice items related to each of the four components of psychological type theory: orientation (introversion and extraversion), perceiving process (sensing and intuition), judging process (thinking and feeling), and attitude toward the outer world (judging and perceiving). Included also were the 10 forced-choice items related to emotionality (volatility and calmness) proposed by the Francis Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETS; Village & Francis, 2023). The full instrument is presented in table 1.

## **Data analysis**

The data were analysed by the SPSS statistical package employing the frequency, correlation, reliability, and factor routine. Classic exploratory factor analysis (Varimax Rotated solution with Kaiser Normalisation) was employed, constrained to five factors, to explore how much of the hypothesised structure of the 50 pairs of items could be recovered by this procedure.

# **Results**

#### - insert table 1 about here -

Table 1 presents the factor structure of the 50 pairs of items proposed by the FPTETSA, employing Varimax Rotated solution with Kaiser Normalisation, constrained to five factors, with item loadings below .35 suppressed for clarity of presentation. These data show that 46 of the 50 pairs of items loaded strongly on the hypothesised factor. All ten of the emotionality items loaded strongly on factor 2. Nine of the ten orientation items loaded strongly on factor 1, but the item 'Do you like parties (extraversion) or dislike parties (introversion)' failed to make the grade (.31). Nine of the ten judging process items loaded strongly on factor 3, but the item 'Do you test people (thinking) or trust people (feeling)' failed to make the grade (.32). Nine of the attitude toward the outer world items loaded strongly on factor 4, the item 'Do you prefer to act on decisions (judging) or on impulse (perceiving)' failed to make the grade (.17). Nine of the perceiving process items loaded strongly on factor 5, but the item 'Do you like to do one thing at a time (sensing), or many things at once (intuition)' failed to make the grade (.27).

## - insert table 2 about here -

Table 2 presents data on the internal consistency reliability of the five ten-item scales in terms of the alpha coefficient (Cronbach, 1951) and the range of the corrected item total correlations for each item within the scale. The data suggest that each of the five scales demonstrate an acceptable level of internal consistency reliability of .70 or above.

- insert table 3 about here -

The second step in data analysis employed an iterative technique of selecting the items with the best corrected item total correlations until each scale was reduced from ten to six items.

Table 2 demonstrates that each of these shorter scales still reported an alpha coefficient of .70 or above. Then table 3 presented the factor structure of this reduced set of 30 pairs of items, employing Varimax Rotated solution with Kaiser Normalisation, constrained to five factors, with item loadings below .35 suppressed for clarity of presentation. These data show that all 30 pairs of items loaded strongly on the hypothesised factor.

#### Conclusion

The present study set out with two aims. The first aim was to test the internal consistency reliability and factor structure of the newly proposed FPTETSA among a sample of 360 Canadian Baptist youth. The data demonstrated that all five ten-item scales, assessing orientations (extraversion and introversion), perceiving process (sensing and intuition), judging process (thinking and feeling), attitude toward the outer world (judging and perceiving), and emotionality (volatility and calmness) generated satisfactory levels of internal consistency reliability. On these grounds the full ten-item instrument may be commended for further use. However, the varimax rotated factor solution questioned the performance of one item within four of the scales (orientations, perceiving process, judging process, and attitude toward the outer world). Further work is needed to test replacement items for these four scales.

The second aim was to develop a short form of the FPTETSA comprising scales of six items. The data demonstrated that all five six-item scales generated satisfactory levels of internal consistency reliability and that the factor structure of this shorter instrument was fully recovered by a varimax rotated solution constrained to five factors. On these grounds the short six-item instrument may be commended for further use. While the continuous scale scores proposed by the FPTETSA, in both its full form and its short form, can be commended

for further use, further research is needed before these scores can be used with confidence to generate discrete type categories.

A core limitation with the present study is that it was conducted on just one (quite distinctive) sample of Canadian Baptist youth. The study now requires replication among diverse samples. In particular it would be wise to employ a larger sample comprising religious and non-religious young people in order to check whether the same items continue to be problematic among non-religious young people as well as among religious young people.

Alongside such replication, new items need developing and testing to replace the four items that were not properly aligning with the hypothesised factors proposed by the original ten-item form of the instrument. Then the new ten-item scales need validating against the FPTS in order to weight the continuous scale scores for allocating participants to discrete type categories.

## **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## References

- ap Siôn, T. G., & Francis, L. J. (2022). The psychological type profile of Salvation Army officers working within the United Kingdom: Diversity, strengths, and weaknesses in ministry. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 25(9), 842-859.

  doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2021.1884211
- Baker, M. J., & Robbins, M. (2012). American on-line atheists and psychological type.

  Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 15(10), 1077-1084.

  doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.707433
- Bents, R., & Wierschke, A. (1996). Test-retest reliability of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. *Journal of Psychological Type*, *36*, 42-46.
- Burns, J., Francis, L. J., Village, A., & Robbins, M. (2013). Psychological type profile of Roman Catholic priests: An empirical enquiry in the USA. *Pastoral Psychology*, 62(3), 239-246. doi.org/10.1007/s11089-012-0483-7
- Burton, L., Francis, L. J., & Robbins, M. (2010). Psychological type profile of Methodist circuit ministers in Britain: Similarities with and differences from Anglican clergy. *Journal of Empirical Theology*, 23(1), 64-81. doi.org/10.1163/157092510X503020
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, *16*(3), 297-334. doi.org/10.1007/BF02310555
- DeVellis, R. F. (2003). Scale development: Theory and applications. Sage.
- Eysenck, S. B. G., Eysenck, H. J., & Barrett, P. (1985). A revised version of the psychoticism scale. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 6(1), 21-29. doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(85)90026-1
- Fawcett, B., Francis, L. J., & Robbins, M. (2009). Psychological type profile of religiously committed male and female Canadian Baptist youth: A study among participants at Tidal Impact. *The Journal of Youth Ministry*, 8(1), 25-38.

- Fawcett, B., Francis, L.J., & Robbins, M. (2011). The scale properties of the Adolescent form of the Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTSA) among Canadian Baptist Youth.

  \*Pastoral Psychology, 60(2), 201-216. doi.org/10.1007/s11089-010-0324-5
- Fawcett, B., Francis, L. J., Linkletter, J., Robbins, M., & Stairs, D. (2017). Assessing the psychological type profile of Canadian Baptist youth: A study employing the Francis Psychological Type Scales for Adolescents (FPTSA). *Pastoral Psychology*, 66(2), 213-223. doi.org/10.1007/s11089-016-0732-2
- Francis, L. J. (2005). Faith and psychology: Personality, religion and the individual. Darton, Longman and Todd.
- Francis, L. J., Fawcett, B. G., Freeze, T., Embrée, R., & Lankshear, D. W. (2021). What helps young Christians grow in discipleship? Exploring connections between discipleship pathways and psychological type. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 24(6), 563-580. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1767556
- Francis, L. J., Clymo, J., & Robbins, M. (2014). Fresh Expressions: Reaching those psychological types conventional forms of church find it hard to reach? *Practical Theology*, 7(4), 252-267. doi.org/10.1179/1756073X14Z.000000000045
- Francis, L. J., & Crea, G. (2015). Psychological temperament and the Catholic priesthood: An empirical enquiry among priests in Italy. *Pastoral Psychology*, *64*(6), 827-837. doi.org/10.1007/s11089-015-0661-5
- Francis, L. J., & Datoo, F. A. (2012). Inside the mosque: A study in psychological type profiling. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 15*(10), 1037-1046. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.709723
- Francis, L. J., Edwards, O. D., & ap Siôn, T. (2021). Applying psychological type and psychological temperament theory to the congregations at cathedral carol services.

- *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 24*(4), 412-424. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1764516
- Francis, L. J., Fawcett, B., Linkletter, J., Robbins, M., & Stairs, D. (2016). Psychological type profile of Canadian Baptist youth leaders: Implications for Christian Education.

  International Journal of Christianity and Education, 20(3), 220-233.

  doi.org/10.1177/2056997116644264
- Francis, L. J., Gubb, S., & Robbins, M. (2009). Psychological type profile of Lead Elders within the Newfrontiers network of churches in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Beliefs and Values*, 30(1), 61-69. doi.org/10.1080/13617670902784568
- Francis, L. J., Haley, J. M., & McKenna, U. (2023). Psychological type profile of Methodist ministers in Britain: Contributing to the Atlas of Clergy Type Tables. *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion*, 33, 102-125. doi.org/10.1163/9789004544574\_007
- Francis, L. J., Hancocks, G., Swift, C., & Robbins, M. (2009). Distinctive call, distinctive profile: The psychological type profile of Church of England full-time hospital chaplains. *Practical Theology*, 2(2), 269-284. doi.org/10.1558/prth.v2i2.269
- Francis, L. J., & Holmes, P. (2011). Ordained Local Ministers: The same Anglican orders, but of different psychological temperaments? *Rural Theology*, *9*(2), 151-160. doi.org/10.1558/ruth.v9i2.151
- Francis, L. J., Jones, S. H., & McKenna, U. (2021). The science of congregation studies and psychometric segmentation: O come all ye thinking types? *HTS Theological Studies*, 77(4), article 6747, 1-10. doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i4.6747
- Francis, L. J., Jones, S. H., & Robbins, M. (2014). The psychological type profile of Readers in the Church of England: Clones of the clergy or distinctive voices? *Journal of Anglican Studies*, 12(2), 165-184. doi.org/10.1017/S1740355313000077

- Francis, L. J., Jones, S. H., & Village, A. (2021). Psychological type and psychological temperament among readers within the Church of England: A complementary ministry? *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 24(4), 389-403. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1764517
- Francis, L. J., & Lankshear, D. W. (2021). Psychological type, temperament theory, and religious motivation: Exploring the distinctive congregational profile of Southwark Cathedral. In L. J. Francis & D. W. Lankshear (Eds.), *The science of congregation studies: Searching for signs of growth* (pp. 329-348). Palgrave Macmillan. doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76107-3 16
- Francis, L. J., Laycock, P., & Brewster, C. (2017). Exploring the factor structure of the Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTS) among a sample of Anglican clergy in England. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 20(9), 930-941. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2017.1375469
- Francis, L. J., Littler, K., & Robbins, M. (2010). Psychological type and Offa's Dyke:

  Exploring differences in the psychological type profile of Anglican clergy serving in

  England and Wales. *Contemporary Wales*, 23, 240-251.
- Francis, L. J., Powell, R., & Robbins, M. (2012). Profiling Catholic priests in Australia: An empirical study applying psychological type theory. In A. W. Ata (Ed.), *Catholics and Catholicism in contemporary Australia: Challenges and achievements* (pp. 282-298). David Lovell Publishing.
- Francis, L. J., Robbins, M., & Craig, C.L. (2011). The psychological type profile of Anglican churchgoers in England: Compatible or incompatible with their clergy? *International Journal of Practical Theology*, 15(2), 243-259. doi.org/10.1515/IJPT.2011.036
- Francis, L. J., Robbins, M., & Jones, S. H. (2012). The psychological type profile of clergywomen in ordained local ministry in the Church of England: Pioneers or

- custodians? *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 15*(9), 919-932. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.698449
- Francis, L. J., Robbins, M., & Ryland, A. (2012). Called for leadership: Psychological type profile of leaders within the Newfrontiers network of churches in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Psychology and Theology, 40*(3), 220-228. doi.org/10.1177/009164711204000305
- Francis, L. J., Robbins, M., & Wulff, K. (2011). Psychological type profile of clergywomen and clergymen serving in the Presbyterian Church (USA): Implications for strengths and weaknesses in ministry. *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion, 22*, 192-211. doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004207271.i-360.38
- Francis, L. J., & Smith, G. (2018). Changing patterns in recruitment to stipendiary ministry:

  A study in psychological profiling. *Theology*, *121*(4), 268-277.

  doi.org/10.1177/0040571X18765426
- Francis, L. J., & Stevenson, A. (2018). Profiling Methodist leadership. *Holiness*, 4(1), 7-26. doi.org/10.2478/holiness-2018-0001
- Francis, L. J., & Village, A. (2012). The psychological temperament of Anglican clergy in ordained local ministry (OLM): The conserving, serving pastor? *Journal of Empirical Theology*, 25(1), 57-76. doi.org/10.1163/157092512X635743
- Francis, L. J., & Village, A. (2022a). Psychological type profile and temperament of Catholic priests serving in England, Wales, and Ireland. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 25(9), 884-896. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2021.2017420
- Francis, L. J., & Village, A. (2022b). The Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTS): Factor structure, internal consistency reliability, and concurrent validity with the MBTI.

  Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 25(9), 931-951.

  doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2022.2041584

- Francis, L. J., Village, A., & Lewis, C. A. (2021). Spiritual awakening among Anglican clergy during the pandemic: Exploring the effects of personal factors, personality, church orientation, and religious practice. *Spirituality of a Personality*, 101(2), 234-257. doi.org/10.33216/2220-6310-2021-101-2\_1-234-257
- Francis, L. J., Village, A., & Lewis, C. A. (2022). Spiritual awakening among church members during the pandemic: An empirical study in England and Wales. *Journal of Empirical Theology*, 35(1), 47-75. doi.org/10.1163/15709256-20221427
- Francis, L. J., & Whinney, M. (2019). The psychological profile of Church of England male archdeacons at the beginning of the twenty-first century: Drawing on psychological type and temperament theory. *Journal of Anglican Studies*, 17(1), 74-92. doi.org/10.1017/S1740355318000256
- Francis, L. J., Whinney, M., & Robbins, M. (2013). Who is called to be a bishop? A study in psychological type profiling of bishops in the Church of England. *Journal of Beliefs* and Values, 34(2), 135-151. doi.org/10.1080/13617672.2013.801647
- Francis, L. J., Wright, H., & Robbins, M. (2016). Temperament theory and congregation studies: Different types for different services? *Practical Theology*, *9*(1), 29-45. doi.org/10.1080/1756073X.2016.1149679
- Garland, G., & Village, A. (2022). Psychological type profiles and temperaments of ministers in the Baptist Union of Great Britain (BUGB). *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 25(9), 860-874. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2021.1908974
- Hamill, P., & Francis, L. J. (2013). The psychological type profile of volunteer Christian youth leaders: An empirical study conducted in Northern Ireland. *Journal of Youth Ministry*, 11(2), 73-86.
- Howes, R. J., & Carskadon, T. G. (1979). Test-retest reliabilities of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator as a function of mood changes. *Research in Psychological Type*, 2, 67-72.

- Johnson, D. A. (1992). Test-retest reliabilities of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator and the type differentiation indicator over a 30-month period. *Journal of Psychological Type*. 24, 54-58.
- Kay, W. K., Francis, L. J., & Robbins, M. (2011). A distinctive leadership for a distinctive network of churches? Psychological type theory and the Apostolic Networks. *Journal* of Pentecostal Theology, 20(2), 306-322. doi.org/10.1163/174552511X597170
- Levy, N., Murphy, C., & Carlson, R. (1972). Personality types among Negro college students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *32*(3), 641-653. doi.org/10.1177/001316447203200307
- Lewis, C. A., Burgess, J. H., & Francis, L. J. (2022). Psychological profile of Ministers of Word and Sacrament within the United Reformed Church (URC). *Mental Health*, *Religion & Culture*, 25(9), 921-930. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2022.2037536
- Lewis, C. A., Francis, L. J., & Geary, A. M. (2021). Psychological type profile of Methodist churchgoers in England. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 24(6), 638-646. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1846509
- Lewis, C. A., Varvatsoulias, G., & Williams, E. (2012). Psychological type profile of practising Greek Orthodox churchgoers in London. *Mental, Health, Religion & Culture*, 15(10), 979-986. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.720753
- McCarley, N. G., & Carskadon, T. G. (1983). Test-retest reliabilities of scales and subscales of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator and of criteria for clinical interpretive hypotheses involving them. *Research in Psychological Type*, *6*, 24-36.
- Myers, I. B., & McCaulley, M. H. (1985). *Manual: A guide to the development and use of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator*. Consulting Psychologists Press.

- Payne, V. J., & Lewis, C. A. (2015). Confirming the psychological type profile of Anglican clergymen in Wales: A ministry for sensing types. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 18(7), 535-543. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2014.963999
- Payne, V. J., Lewis, C. A., & Francis, L. J. (2021). Confirming the factor structure of the Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTS) among a sample of Anglican clergy in Wales. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 24(6), 628-637. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1858275
- Powell, R., Robbins, M., & Francis, L. J. (2012). The psychological type profile of lay church leaders in Australia. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 15*(9), 905-918. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.686478
- Robbins, M., & Francis, L. J. (2011). All are called, but some psychological types are more likely to respond: Profiling churchgoers in Australia. *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion*, 22, 213-229. doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004207271.i-360.44
- Robbins, M., & Kay, W. K. (2015). The psychological type profile of Singaporean

  Pentecostal pastors: A research report. *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion*, 26, 77-86. doi.org/10.1163/9789004299436\_006
- Robbins, M., & Powell, R. (2015). Psychological type profile of Protestant church leaders in Australia: Are clergymen and clergywomen different? *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 18(7), 576-585. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2014.963997
- Royle, M. H., Norton, J., & Larkin, T. (2015). Psychological type profile of clergywomen and clergymen serving in the New York metropolitan area of the Reformed Church in America. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 18(7), 586-592. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2014.961264
- Rutledge, C. J. F. (2021). Psychological type and psychological temperament of nonstipendiary Anglican clergy: Appropriate to meet the needs of the twenty-first

- century? *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, *24*(6), 366-376. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1758647
- Silberman, S. L., Freeman, I., & Lester, G. R. (1992). A longitudinal study of dental students' personality type preferences. *Journal of Dental Education*, *56*(6), 384-388. doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.1992.56.6.tb02653.x
- Tilley, D., Francis, L. J., Robbins M., & Jones, S. H. (2011). Apprentice clergy? The relationship between expectations in ministry and the psychological type profile of training incumbents and curates in the Church of England. *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion*, 22, 286-305. doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004207271.i-360.65
- Tsuzuki, Y., & Matsui, T. (1997). Test-retest reliabilities of a Japanese translation of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. *Psychological Reports*, 81(1), 349-350. doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1997.81.1.349
- Village, A. (2007). Feeling in and falling out: Sense of belonging and frequency of disagreeing among Anglican congregations. *Archive for the Psychology of Religion*, 29(1), 268-288. doi.org/10.1163/008467207X188865
- Village, A. (2011). Gifts differing? Psychological type among stipendiary and non-stipendiary clergy. *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion*, 22, 230-250. doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004207271.i-360.49
- Village, A. (2012). Biblical literalism among Anglican clergy: What is the role of psychological type? *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, *15*(9), 955-968. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.681482
- Village, A. (2014). The relationship of psychological type to interpretations of Genesis among churchgoers in England. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 6(1), 72-82. doi.org/10.1037/a0035184

- Village, A. (2015a). Who goes there? Attendance at Fresh Expressions of Church in relation to psychological type preference among readers of the *Church Times. Practical Theology*, 8(2), 112-129. doi.org/10.1179/1756074815Y.00000000007
- Village, A. (2015b). Psychological type functions and biblical scholarship: An empirical enquiry among members of the Society of Biblical Literature. *Mental Health*, *Religion & Culture*, *18*(7), 605-621. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2014.961246
- Village, A. (2016). Biblical conservatism and psychological type. *Journal of Empirical Theology*, 29(2), 137-159. doi.org/10.1163/15709256-12341340
- Village, A. (2020). Psychological and theological predictors of environmental attitudes among a sample of UK churchgoers. *Journal of Empirical Theology*, *33*(2), 220-244. doi.org/10.1163/15709256-12341411
- Village, A. (2021). Testing the factor structure of the Francis Psychological Type Scales (FPTS): A replication among Church of England clergy and laity. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 24(4), 336-346. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1780575
- Village, A., & Baker, S. (2013). Rejection of Darwinian evolution among churchgoers in England: The effects of psychological type. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 52(3), 557-572. doi.org/10.1111/jssr.12049
- Village, A., Baker, S., & Howat, S. (2012). Psychological type profiles of churchgoers in England. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 15*(10), 969-978. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.686479
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2021a). Exploring affect balance: Psychological wellbeing of Church of England clergy and laity during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 60(3), 1556-1575. doi.org/10.1007/s10943-021-01225-6
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2021b). Shaping attitudes toward church in a time of Coronavirus: Exploring the effects of personal, psychological, social, and theological

- factors among Church of England clergy and laity. *Journal of Empirical Theology*, 34(1), 102-128. doi.org/10.1163/15709256-12341423
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2022a). Psychological wellbeing and sources of support for Church of England clergy and laity during the third national Covid-19 lockdown. *Rural Theology*, 20(2), 72-90. doi.org/10.1080/14704994.2022.2120972
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2022b). Giving up on the Church of England in the time of pandemic: Individual differences in responses of non-ministering members to online worship and off-line services. *Journal of Anglican Studies*, online first. doi.org/10.1017/S1740355322000195
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2022c). Factorial structure and validity of the Francis
  Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETS). *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 25(9), 897-909. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2022.2026311
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2023a). The effects of spiritual wellbeing on self-perceived health changes among members of the Church of England during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Religion and Health*. online first.
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2023b). God is in his heaven, all's right with the world:
  Psychological wellbeing and belief in divine control during the third Covid-19
  lockdown in England. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, 51(2), 263-277.
  doi.org/10.1177/00916471221149027
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2023c). Introducing the Francis Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETS): A study among church leaders and church members. *Religion, Brain and Behaviour*. Online first. doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2022.2160800
- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (2023d). Lockdown worship in the Church of England:

  Predicting affect responses to leading or accessing online and in-church services.

Journal of Beliefs and Values, 44(2), 280-296. doi.org/10.1080/13617672.2022.2101087

- Village, A., & Francis, L. J. (under review). A shorter version of the revised Francis

  Psychological Type and Emotional Temperament Scales (FPTETS-r).
- Walker, D. S. (2012). O Come all ye thinking types: The wider appeal of the cathedral carol service. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 15*(10), 987-995. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2012.707436
- Watt, L., & Voas, D. (2015). Psychological type and self-assessed leadership skills of clergy in the Church of England. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 18(7), 544-555. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2014.961250

Table 1

Rotated factors

	factor 1	factor 2	factor	factor 4	factor 5
Orientation (Extraversion — Introversion)					
an open person — a private person	.66				
easy to talk to new people — hard to talk to new people	.45				
easy to get to know — difficult to get to know	.57				
like parties — dislike parties					
others bring you to life — too many people tire you	.58				
with the crowd — on your own	.43				
get to know you quickly — get to know you slowly	.58				
talkative — quiet	.72				
an extravert — an introvert	.60				
like to talk — like to listen	.62				
Emotionality (Volatile — Calm)					
emotional — unemotional		.45			
discontented — contented		.43			
feel insecure — feel secure		.51			
have mood swings — stay stable		.58			
get angry quickly — remain placid		.58			
feel guilty about things — feel guilt-free		.36			
anxious about things — at ease		.55			
panic easily — stay calm		.66			
frequently get irritated — rarely get irritated		.59			
easily bothered by things — unbothered by things		.60			
Judging process (Thinking — Feeling)					
test people — trust people					
hard to be sympathetic — easy to be sympathetic			.49		
thinking — feeling			.38		
firm — kind			.61		
correct others — encourage others			.60		
others' rights — others' feelings			.57		
hard — sensitive			.49		
sceptical — trusting			.40		

debate — agreement	.53
fair-minded — warm-hearted	.62
Attitude to outer world (Judging — Perceiving)	
happy with routine — unhappy with routine	.52
structured — open-ended	.57
to act on decisions — act on impulse	
best work in advance — work best at the last minute	.58
lists helpful — lists a waste of time	.54
organised — disorganised	.51
take deadlines seriously — feel relaxed about deadlines	.38
like to be well prepared — find being too prepared unhelpful	.62
time organised is good — time organised is annoying	.56
timetables are helpful — timetables are irritating	.59
Perceiving process (Sensing — Intuition)	
one thing at a time — many things at once	
practical — inventive	.60
tried and tested paths — new and novel ways	.45
experience — inspiration	.52
matter of fact — imaginative	.57
stick with familiar things — try new things	.50
a realist — a dreamer	.50
see things as they are — see things as they might be	.47
keep things as they are — change things	.53
down to earth — up in the air	.43

Note: Item loadings below .35 suppressed for clarity of presentation

Table 2
Scale properties: Internal consistency reliability

	Full scale			Short form			
	Alpha	CITC range		Alpha	CITC range		
Extraversion — Introversion	.78	.24	.58	.75	.46	.54	
Sensing — Intuition	.71	.20	.48	.70	.34	.51	
Thinking — Feeling	.73	.29	.54	.70	.37	.54	
Judging — Perceiving	.74	.20	.51	.70	.37	.49	
Volatile — Calm	.75	.25	.53	.71	.41	.49	

Note: N = 360

CITC range = range of the correlated item total correlations for each of the ten items within the scale

Table 3

Rotated component matrix

	factor	factor	factor	factor	factor
Orientation (Extraversion — Introversion)	1	2	3	4	5
an open person — a private person	.70				
easy to get to know — difficult to get to know	.63				
others bring you to life — too many people tire you	.59				
get to know you quickly — get to know you slowly	.65				
talkative — quiet	.70				
an extravert — an introvert	.64				
Judging process (Thinking — Feeling)					
hard to be sympathetic — easy to be sympathetic		.55			
firm — kind		.62			
correct others — encourage others		.60			
others' rights — others' feelings		.59			
hard — sensitive		.53			
fair-minded — warm-hearted		.66			
Emotionality (Volatile — Calm)					
have mood swings — stay stable			.58		
get angry quickly — remain placid			.63		
anxious about things — at ease			.57		
panic easily — stay calm			.69		
frequently get irritated — rarely get irritated			.65		
easily bothered by things — unbothered by things			.66		
Attitude to outer world (Judging — Perceiving)					
happy with routine — unhappy with routine				.58	
structured — open-ended				.58	
best work in advance — work best at the last minute				.58	
lists helpful — lists a waste of time				.72	
like to be well prepared — find being too prepared unhelpful				.65	
timetables are helpful — timetables are irritating				.63	
Perceiving process (Sensing — Intuition)					
practical — inventive					.70
experience — inspiration					.53

FPTETSA FACTOR STRUCTURE	29
matter of fact — imaginative	.66
a realist — a dreamer	.61
see things as they are — see things as they might be	.53
down to earth — up in the air	.58

Note: Item loadings below .35 suppressed for clarity of presentation